

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Influence of sensor calibration on forecasting models for vineyard disease detection

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1680696> since 2018-11-06T11:59:44Z

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Influence of sensor calibration on forecasting models for vineyard disease detection

Francesca Sanna^{1,3,*}, Roberto Deboli¹, Angela Calvo², Andrea Merlone³

¹ IMAMOTER-CNR - Istituto per le Macchine Agricole e Movimento Terra – Consiglio Nazionale Ricerche, Strada delle Cacce, 73, 10135, Turin, Italy

² DiSAFA Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Università degli Studi di Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini, 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO)

³ INRiM – Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica di Torino, Strada delle Cacce 91, 10135, Torino (TO)

Corresponding author: f.sanna@ima.to.cnr.it

Abstract. The evolution of vineyard diseases, such as Downy Mildew, are depending by temperature, humidity and rain [1]. The pathologies are controlled with the use of fungicides, which has considerable economic costs, negative effects on environment, human health and wine quality [2; 3]. In order to identify fungicide sprays periods, several forecasting models were proposed [4]. These tools require accurate knowledge of meteorological variables. Nevertheless, these models do not consider the quality of the input data in terms of evaluation of measurement uncertainty and traceability to the reference sensors [5].

Calibration of weather stations installed in agricultural sites is usually performed by comparison, positioning the reference sensors for a short period close to the station under calibration. This procedure was metrologically evaluated and showed relevant weak points [6]. Moreover, Vineyards and other agricultural sites are often positioned on slopes and close to forests where the canopy influences weather conditions in the vicinity. This enforces a non-ideal position for installing weather instruments and the resulting data do not take into account the effect of slope, the proximity of trees or intensity of solar radiation [7]. The contribution of measurement uncertainty arising from these conditions is not generally considered;

There is a need for testing various types of sensors, their calibration, and to evaluate the measurement uncertainty related the meteorological quantities [8] in order to improve vineyard disease predictions and reduce the use of chemicals in agriculture.

Two automatic weather stations were installed in a vineyard located in Monferrato and the outcomes data were analysed both with metrological methods and statistical test, in order to evaluate the uncertainty related to the positioning of the sensors and the influence on meteorological measurements. The data of the weather stations were also used as input values of an epidemiological forecasting model. The forecasts provided by calibrated data are overlapped around the estimate period of infection, confirming that the inclusion of measurement uncertainties produce data closer to the real value of the measurands.

The inclusion of the sensors calibration and weather instrument positioning contributions, affects the disease prediction up to 5 days. Therefore, the choice of instrument position and calibration procedure becomes a matter of importance in agriculture. Measurements should be based on fully documented traceability and forecasting models should include measurement uncertainties in the input values, to improve output data reliability

References

- [1] Lafon R, Clerjeau M, 1988. Downy mildew. In: Pearson, R.C., Goheen, A.C. (Eds.), *Compendium of Grape Diseases*. APS Press, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA: 11–13
- [2] Friesland H., Orlandini S., 2011. Simulation models of plant pests and diseases. *Meteorological Applications for Agriculture - Final report*. COST Action, 718: 1-19
- [3] Perazzolli M., Dagostin S., Ferrari A., Elad Y., Pertot I. 2008. Induction of systemic resistance against *Plasmopara viticola* in grapevine by *Trichoderma harzianum* T3 9 and benzothiadiazole. *Biol. Control*, 47: 228-234.
- [4] Rossi V., Caffi T., Giosuè, S., Bugiani R., 2008. A mechanist model simulating primary infections of downy mildew in grapevine. *Ecol. Modelling*, 212: 480-491
- [5] Sanna F., Calvo A., Deboli R., Merlone A. 2018. Vineyard diseases detection: a case study on the influence of weather instruments calibration and positioning. *Meteorological Applications*, 24(4): doi:10.1002/met.1685
- [6] Sanna F, Cossu QA, Bellagarda S, Roggero G, Merlone A, 2014. Evaluation of EPI forecasting model with inclusion of uncertainty in input value and traceable calibration, *Italian Journal of Agrometeorology*, 12(3): 33-44
- [7] Matese A, Crisci A, Di Gennaro SF, Primicerio J, Tomasi D, Marcuzzo P, Guidoni S. 2014. Spatial variability of meteorological conditions at different scales in viticulture. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 189: 159-167
- [8] Merlone A., Sanna F., Bell S., Beges G., et al., 2018. The MeteoMet2 Project– Highlights and Results. *Measurement Science and Technologies* 29 025802 pp. 17